



2023 Collaborations for Change Seed Grant
Scoring Rubric

REVIEWER:
INSTITUTION:

Please enter an “x” in the appropriate box to indicate your rating. Scores will be calculated by the conference team.

Assessment Domain	Does not meet expectations	Below expectations	Meets expectations	Exceeds expectations
1) Project Alignment with Collaborations for Change Conference goals (25%)				
a) The goals and objectives of the project align with the goals, objectives, and values of the Collaborations for Change conference. (/8)				
b) The project seeks to promote the mental health and wellbeing of campus community members (i.e., students, staff, academic staff, post-doctoral scholars). (/5)				
c) A sound rationale is established for the project and the application explains how the team intends to solve an issue related to campus mental health and wellbeing through the project. (/4)				
d) The expected outcomes of the project are clearly outlined. (/4)				

<p>e) The project team is composed of diverse stakeholders (e.g., researchers, post-secondary practitioners/clinicians, student service professionals, and students) from different Canadian Post-Secondary Institutions. The contributions of the team members are clearly articulated. (/4)</p>				
<p>TOTAL (/25)</p>				
<p>SECTION COMMENTS</p>				

Assessment Domain	Does not meet expectations	Below expectations	Meets expectations	Exceeds expectations
2) Project Impact (short and medium term) (30%)				
a) The population intended to benefit from the project is clearly outlined. (/2)				
b) The project is evidence-based or based on best practices. (/8)				
c) The potential impact of the project at the post-secondary institution specified is clearly articulated. (/8)				
d) The project has the potential to be sustained beyond the funding period. (/8)				
e) There is an appropriate plan for disseminating project outputs or sharing knowledge gained through the project. (/4)				
TOTAL (/30)				
SECTION COMMENTS				

Assessment Domain	Does not meet expectations	Below expectations	Meets expectations	Exceeds expectations
3) Project Evaluation (25%)				
a) Evaluation procedures have been thoroughly outlined for the project. (/9) If ethics approvals are required, the plans to obtain approvals and through which department/unit are outlined. (If applicable and not clearly outlined, deduct 2)				
b) The evaluation procedures outlined are appropriate for the project goals and will assess project objectives and outcomes. (/8)				
c) The outlined evaluation activities have the potential to provide initial findings that can lead to more funding in the future, whether from a post-secondary institution or a funding agency. (/8)				
TOTAL (/25)				
SECTION COMMENTS				

Assessment Domain	Does not meet expectations	Below expectations	Meets expectations	Exceeds expectations
4) Project Budget and Timeline (20%)				
a) The budget for the project is realistic, with specific quotes and justifications provided. (/8)				
b) The budget addresses the key activities/initiatives of the project. (/4)				
c) The timeline clearly describes all activities of the project toward which the team is working. (/4)				
d) The timeline is realistic for the activities/initiatives in the project. (/4)				
TOTAL (/20)				
SECTION COMMENTS				



TOTAL SCORE: (/100)	
-----------------------------	--

OVERALL COMMENTS:

--

<i>Eligibility for Seed Grant</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/> All application questions complete	
<input type="checkbox"/> Project is not an aspect of a larger project that has received funding	
<input type="checkbox"/> Project team members are not listed on another successful application	
ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
	<input type="checkbox"/> No